

Why was there a 'vaccine solution'?

Against a disease it was known from the beginning wasn't a serious threat to most people...



ELIZABETH HART
DEC 26, 2023



40



65



5

Share



Why did apparently the entire scientific and medical establishment support a 'vaccine solution' against [the symptoms called 'Covid'](#), *when these weren't a serious threat for most people?*

Can anyone name a member of the scientific and medical establishment who back in early 2020 *questioned why a vaccine response was being pursued?*

Thanks for reading Vaccination is political!
Subscribe for free to receive new posts and
support my work.

I can't think of one 'doctor' or 'professor' who called this out in early 2020... (If you're aware of someone, please let me know their name and evidence of them questioning the proposed vaccine response at that time.)

As a layperson, I challenged this from the beginning...

See below my rapid response published on *The British Medical Journal* on 25 March 2020, in response to *The BMJ* article: [Covid-19: UK starts social distancing after new model points to 260 000 potential deaths](#). BMJ 2020;368:m1089.

Emphasis has been added to my *BMJ* rapid response below, to highlight what largely wasn't being questioned by the scientific and medical establishment at the time.

BMJ Rapid Response:

[Is it ethical to impede access to natural immunity? The case of SARS-CoV2](#)

Dear Editor

If children, young adults and others can mount their own effective immune response to SARS-CoV2, is it ethical to impede their ability to access natural immunity by interfering with the natural progression of the virus?

According to the WHO, "Illness due to COVID-19 infection is generally mild, especially for children and young adults."^[1]

Is the focus on future fast-tracked vaccine products blocking full consideration of the opportunity for natural herd immunity? *Who is Neil Ferguson to say "The only exit strategy [in the] long term for this is really vaccination or other forms of innovative technology that allows us to control transmission".*^[2]

In regards to young people's and others' right to natural immunity, it's also vital to consider the startling admission by Heidi Larson, Director of The Vaccine Confidence Project, during the recent WHO Global Vaccine Safety Summit, i.e. *"...We've shifted the human population...to dependency on vaccine-induced immunity...We're in a very fragile state now. We have developed a world that is dependent on vaccinations".*^[3]

This is a very alarming statement by Professor Larson, particularly with the prospect of other epidemics emerging in the future. We have to learn to deal with epidemics and illnesses as they emerge, *it's not feasible to vaccinate the global population against every threat.*

In a recent article raising concern about making decisions about this pandemic without reliable data, John Ioannidis notes that "School closures may also diminish the chances of developing herd immunity in an age group that is spared serious disease".^[4] The UK's chief scientific adviser, Sir Patrick Vallance, raised the prospect of developing natural herd immunity^[5], but this idea was subsequently howled down by Matt Hancock, the UK secretary of state for health and social care^[6], and others such as Willem van Schaik, a professor of microbiology and infection, as reported by the Science Media Centre.^[7]

Again, is it ethical to deny children, young people and others their opportunity for natural immunity, and to plan to make them dependent on vaccine-induced immunity, to in effect make them dependent on the vaccine industry?

This is even more serious to consider in light of emerging vaccine product failures, e.g. pertussis and mumps.

The international community must be assured that independent and objective thinkers are carefully considering the way ahead on this matter.

References:

1. WHO Q&A on coronaviruses (COVID-19) - Should I worry about COVID-19. 9 March 2020.
2. Elisabeth Mahase. Covid-19: UK starts social distancing after new model points to 260 000 potential deaths. *BMJ*2020;368:m1089
3. Heidi Larson. Vaccine safety in the next decade. Why we need new modes of trust building? WHO Global Vaccine Safety Summit, 2-3 December 2019.
4. John P.A. Ioannidis. A fiasco in the making? As the coronavirus pandemic takes hold, we are making decisions without reliable data. *STAT*, 17 March 2020.
5. Coronavirus: 60% of UK population need to become infected so country can build 'herd immunity', government's chief scientist says. *Independent*, 13 March 2020.
6. The UK backs away from "herd immunity" coronavirus proposal amid blowback. *Vox*, 15 March 2020.
7. Expert comments about herd immunity. Science Media Centre, 13 March 2020.

Competing interests: No competing interests

25 March 2020

Elizabeth M Hart

Independent citizen investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

Adelaide, Australia

Thanks for reading Vaccination is political!
Subscribe for free to receive new posts and
support my work.



40 Likes · 5 Restacks

Discussion about this post

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...



Ian Brighthope  Ian Brighthope's Substack 26 Dec 2023 ...

 Liked by Elizabeth Hart

I did Elizabeth.

As soon as the virus I wrote to all the authorities including the Prime Minister, the Health Minister, the CMO, AMA, RACGP, Unions, newspapers etc and advised them that a CD zinc campaign would end the pandemic in 8weeks.

I also sent out a press release from The Australasian College of Nutritional and Environmental Medicine to that effect. I employed the services of a PR company to promote vitamin D in the media. It ran for 6 months. I kept saying there was no need for anything else except for quarantine and extra care for the elderly and comorbidities.

It seems very few have confidence in natural immunity and it's support by nutraceuticals, the safest and most powerful molecules we have.

That's a great example of how we have been indoctrinated from birth.

 LIKED (23)  REPLY

 SHARE

13 replies by Elizabeth Hart and others



Keith Rushworth Keith's Substack 26 Dec 2023 ...

 Liked by Elizabeth Hart

Epidemiologist Knut Wittkowski, German, living in NY, was on video by the beginning of April.

<https://ratical.org/PerspectivesOnPandemic-II.html>

He was on YouTube and reached a million views before it was banned.

He subsequently "disappeared." My view is that he is on the Autism spectrum. Hence speaking truth was natural, but the ability to cope with lies and conspiracy wasn't his thing.

 LIKED (6)  REPLY

 SHARE

3 replies by Elizabeth Hart and others

63 more comments...

© 2025 Elizabeth Hart · [Privacy](#) · [Terms](#) · [Collection notice](#)
[Substack](#) is the home for great culture