

"We're not going back to normal"

"Social distancing is here to stay for much more than a few weeks. It will upend our way of life, in some ways forever"



ELIZABETH HART

JAN 13, 2024



32



8



4

Share



It was out in the open on 17 March 2020, the plan to “upend our way of life” via the Covid scam, that “we’re not going back to normal”, as laid out by [Gideon Lichfield in the MIT Technology Review](#):

To stop coronavirus we will need to radically change almost everything we do: how we work, exercise, socialize, shop, manage our health, educate our kids, take care of family members.


We all want things to go back to normal quickly. But what most of us have probably not yet realized—yet will soon—is that things won’t go back to normal after a few weeks, or even a few months. Some things never will.

Lichfield’s article was published just the day after the now notorious [Neil Ferguson et al Imperial College Report 9](#), which recommended suppression of ‘the virus’ “until a vaccine becomes available (potentially 18 months or more).”

Thanks for reading Vaccination is political!
Subscribe for free to receive new posts and
support my work.

Quoting from Lichfield’s article:

As long as someone in the world has the virus, breakouts can and will keep recurring without stringent controls to contain them. In a [report yesterday](#) (pdf), researchers at Imperial College London proposed a way of doing this: **impose more extreme social distancing measures every time admissions to intensive care units (ICUs) start to spike, and relax them each time admissions fall. Here’s how that looks in a graph.**



The orange line is ICU admissions. Each time they rise above a threshold—say, 100 per week—the country would close all schools and most universities and adopt social distancing. When they drop below 50, those measures would be lifted, but people with symptoms or whose family members have symptoms would still be confined at home.

What counts as “social distancing”? The researchers define it as “All households reduce contact outside household, school or workplace by 75%.” That doesn’t mean you get to go out with your friends once a week instead of four times. It means everyone does everything they can to minimize social contact, and overall, the number of contacts falls by 75%.

Under this model, the researchers conclude, **social distancing and school closures would need to be in force some two-thirds of the time—roughly two months on and one month off—until a vaccine is available, which will take at least 18 months (if it [works at all](#)).** They note that the results are “qualitatively similar for the US.”

(My emphasis.)

That was the plan, ‘upend our way of life’, and drive people crazy with lockdowns and restrictions, until they would agree to anything to get out of the trap - such as submit to fast-tracked experimental vaccine products.

It was never really about ‘[slowing the spread](#)’, it was always about facilitating the vaccines and social control, as clearly demonstrated in that graph in Neil Ferguson et al’s Imperial College Report 9, the report that failed to disclose [Ferguson’s conflict of interest](#), i.e. he is funded by arguably the world’s biggest vaccine promoter, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

Suggest people have a close look at [Gideon Lichfield's article](#), to remember what they had planned for us. There seems to be a lot of collective amnesia about this, the plan to steal our freedom.

For more background, also consider watching the documentary [We're Living in 12 Monkeys](#), which was recorded on 19 March 2020. Quite remarkable to think this commentary was in the public domain so early...but who took any notice?

So much to look back on now, considering the manufactured Covid debacle, the biggest crime of all time.

Thanks for reading Vaccination is political!
Subscribe for free to receive new posts and
support my work.



32 Likes · 4 Restacks

Discussion about this post

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...



Carol Rohde Carol's Substack 13 Jan 2024 Edited ...

Liked by Elizabeth Hart

Post mortems are exhausting. Atleast we're watching what is going on now. If you're not, you're half dead or under hypnosis. Look this up... "On 25 March 2020 the Prime Minister announced the formation of a new advisory body—the National COVID-19 Coordination Commission (NCCC)—to be based in the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet and comprised of leaders from the profit and non-profit sectors."

Scary Autralian Government catchphrases from here:

https://www.aph.gov.au/About_Parliament/Parliamentary_Departments/Parliamentary_Library/pubs/rp/rp1718/Quick_Guides/HomelandSecurity

1 Scott Morison, then Immigration minister pushing for a "homeland security department"

2 Senator Brandis "fit for purpose"

3 August 2014 with regards to Abbott and the AG's "review of Australia's counter-terrorism coordinating machinery" "meet current and emerging threats, drawing where appropriate on international best practice."

4 14 July 2014 "restructuring current security arrangements 'going on inside Government at the moment'.

They were national security measures in line with this: <https://www.cfr.org/timeline/how-911-reshaped->

 LIKED (5)  REPLY

 SHARE

4 replies by Elizabeth Hart and others



iya 13 Jan 2024



 Liked by Elizabeth Hart

Love Truthstream. They've been spot on, & they have a sense of humor too.

 LIKED (3)  REPLY

 SHARE

6 more comments...