

"...is this actually about creating a lucrative pandemic industry,

overseen by the empire-building World Health Organization, at the behest of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, GAVI, and other vested interests?"



ELIZABETH HART

JAN 23, 2024



38



32



11

Share



On 27 June 2022, in a group email thread, my colleague Emma McArthur challenged Geert Vanden Bossche, asking “is there any evidence that society has ever vaccinated its way out of a pandemic like Covid-19 or influenza?”

In light of where we are now with the response to the manufactured Covid-19 crisis, particularly pressure on the community to submit to eternal Covid-19 vaccination, it’s important to consider the information provided by Emma McArthur in response to Geert Vanden Bossche and others in the email group.

Please see below an edited version of Emma’s response, with her permission. There is much to consider about “creating a lucrative pandemic industry”...

Emma McArthur’s response to Geert Vanden Bossche, 27 June 2022:

Geert, in your recent response to Marc, you stated:

“I am worried about the future and don’t have yet the luxury to ruminate on what happened in the past. I really feel like I’ve currently bigger fish to fry.”

I strongly disagree with you on this point. Of course, we all have concerns about the future, but we will not get out of this mess until we understand how we got into it in the first place. This is precisely what Elizabeth Hart is trying to draw attention to.

Why, when it was known from the beginning that this disease was not a risk to most people, were people locked up in their homes and subjected to medical tyranny – against all existing pandemic guidelines and public health principles – with a vaccine solution as the only way out?

Geert, is there any evidence that society has ever vaccinated its way out of a pandemic like Covid-19 or influenza?

Or is this actually about creating a lucrative pandemic industry, overseen by the empire-building World Health Organization, at the behest of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, GAVI, and other vested interests?

Geert, you have been heavily involved in vaccine development for many years, including influenza vaccination. You [have worked](#) for major players in the vaccine industry such as GAVI and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. You have undertaken continuing education in pandemic planning and response. When you were Global Project Director for Influenza Vaccines for Solvay Biologicals in 2007-2008, your resume states you were responsible for:

- Preclinical development of adjuvanted Influenza vaccines
- Implementation of commercial-scale production of cell-based methods and expansion of Influenza vaccine production capacity such as to meet DHSS (U.S. Department of Human Health Services) contractual requirements (Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Plan)

You know 'the industry' inside and out, so perhaps you can answer the following....

If, as you claim, it is known that we should not mass-vaccinate during a pandemic, why is vaccination a major pillar of pandemic preparedness for influenza?

Why has a 'pandemic industry' been constructed, over decades, around vaccination, when it is widely known that vaccines for respiratory viruses like influenza are not particularly effective and do not prevent infection and transmission, nor provide long-term immunity?

(I think we could all stop here and agree that if vaccines for respiratory viruses provided the supposed holy grail of 'sterilising immunity', it would not be a very good market for vaccine manufacturers!)

Many people were aware by March/April 2020, that Covid-19 did not warrant such a draconian response. Despite early evidence, such as the [Diamond Princess data](#), we know that behind closed doors, the wheels of a 'vaccine solution' were already in motion, so we also need to ask who stood to gain most from this response?

Geert, with all due respect, if this was just about 'science', none of it would have happened. At its heart, the response to Covid-19 is fundamentally political, and it is clearly being driven by immensely powerful forces that did not just come into being at the beginning of 2020. Fear and propaganda are the major weapons in this war being waged upon an unsuspecting public. We must be careful not to do the same. We should also be wary of anyone who uses fear in an attempt to manipulate the thoughts or actions of others – even if they are seemingly 'on the same side'.

Regarding the evolution of this pandemic industry, there are many red flags in the historical literature that warrant further investigation, particularly if we want to understand what is going on, get out of it, AND prevent a repeat of it in the future.

Some may know the 2006 paper, 'Disease Mitigation Measures in the Control of Pandemic Influenza'. It is written by some notable names in the biosecurity field – Tom Inglesby, Jennifer Nuzzo, Tara O'Toole and of course, the late Donald Henderson, who many in the industry have put on a pedestal for eradicating smallpox (how ironic given the current situation with monkeypox and the calls for smallpox vaccination!).

This paper was [widely discussed](#) during the response to Covid-19 because it presents a case against many of the measures that were deployed, such as lockdowns. Lead author, Tom Inglesby, is director of the Johns Hopkins Center for Health Security and he was also part of the White House Covid-19 response team. Inglesby has been heavily involved in [Event 201 and other pandemic simulations](#) going back to 2001 e.g., Clade X, Atlantic Storm and Dark Winter. It is interesting that Inglesby [championed](#) the draconian Covid-19 measures, **against** the recommendations in this paper.

However, the authors also make two bold statements regarding influenza vaccination, with no evidence to support them:

"There is universal agreement that the key to influenza prevention is vaccination, and both funds and research are now being expended in pursuit of an effective vaccine."

and

'Large-Scale Community Vaccination: Vaccines are the best mechanism for preventing influenza infection and spread in the community and for protecting healthcare workers caring for those who do become ill. Once an influenza strain

capable of sustained human-to-human transmission emerges, a vaccine specific to the pandemic strain will need to be made. It is expected that it will be at least 6 months after the emergence of the pandemic strain before the initial supplies of vaccine can be produced. Current vaccine manufacturing techniques and limitations on vaccine production constrain the total amount of vaccine that can be manufactured. Special efforts are being made to increase this capacity, but under current conditions, according to the National Strategy for Pandemic Influenza, it will be as much as 5 years (i.e., 2011) before domestic vaccine production capacity is in place to create enough vaccine for the entire U.S. population within 6 months of the start of a pandemic.'

It seems obvious from this second statement, that the goal was to be able to produce enough influenza vaccines for the whole US population in 6 months, during a pandemic.

If this runs counter to scientific understanding of respiratory viruses and vaccines, why on earth have the WHO, and others, been pushing to increase influenza vaccine manufacturing capacity for decades, to build the capacity to vaccinate the whole world during a pandemic? And more importantly, why have governments been using billions of dollars in taxpayers' money, investing in a strategy that is doomed to failure?

The literature on pandemic influenza contains a never-ending narrative about 'lack of supply', combined with a big push to get governments to pay for vaccine supplies that they may never use. For example, the stated goal of the [2006 WHO Global pandemic influenza vaccine action plan](#) was to increase vaccine supply:

'The objective of the Global Vaccine Action Plan is to increase the supply of a pandemic vaccine and thereby reduce the gap between the potential vaccine demand and supply anticipated during an influenza pandemic.'

The plan also states:

'One important option for the global health community to consider, therefore, is countries' willingness to pay vaccine manufacturers for unused excess capacity of vaccines.'

Similarly, in a 2006 interview on bioterrorism (APBN Vol. 10 No. 1 2006), Donald Henderson flags mass vaccination, stating 'if we have a major flu outbreak, eg. Bird flu, we would want to vaccinate everyone.'

He then goes on to discuss the manufacturing challenges saying:

‘Today, pharmaceutical manufacturers have been reluctant to put in a whole lot of money into producing new plants, new equipment. This is because they would not know how much of the vaccines they would be able to sell. This happened with SARS too. There was a number of companies that were very reluctant to produce any vaccines. It will be great if the government would say, “here is the contract, we will buy this amount of vaccines from you.” This way the companies can go ahead to manufacture them. But this has been very difficult to do. We are struggling to figure out how to make a commitment. What risks are we willing to take?’

The obvious end point of this way of thinking is the Australian government committing to buying [280 million doses](#) of Covid injections, at a cost of [8 billion](#) Australian dollars to the taxpayer!! And all the contracts are secret, so the people are kept in the dark.... to protect corporate interests.

As we all know, this is not the first-time governments have bought vaccines or pharmaceutical products that were not needed, during a ‘pandemic’ – 2009 Swine Flu being another notable example.

So, where did this all begin, Geert? Where do the roots of this ‘pandemic influenza vaccine industry’ lie? When did society start to view disease as an enemy that must be defeated – at any cost?

In the past century, the fear evoked by the 1918/19 H1N1 influenza pandemic – which occurred in the bloody shadows of the First World War – appears to have been used, and is [still used](#), as a catalyst for the pandemic industry e.g., disease surveillance and influenza vaccination. But does the foundation of the current pandemic industry hold up to scrutiny? What are the true risks from pandemics in terms of overall global health burden? An objective review of the 1918/19 H1N1 influenza, and other pandemics, is clearly long overdue.

Regarding the establishment of the ‘flu jab’ industry, as many will know, the first influenza vaccines were developed in the 1940s. In 1952, the [Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System \(GISRS\)](#) was created by the WHO, to monitor the evolution of influenza viruses. Following the 1957-1958 influenza pandemic, annual influenza vaccination of the vulnerable was introduced into [public health policy](#) in the United States.

In 1964, a paper co-authored again by Donald Henderson, with Alexander Langmuir and Robert Serfling, examined the impact of the influenza vaccination program upon seasonal epidemics in the United States (see: ‘The epidemiological basis for the control of influenza’). Langmuir et al’s paper perhaps gives some insight into the beginnings of the multibillion-dollar influenza vaccine industry, and also modern public health strategies:

‘The Influenza Advisory Committee reviewed the experience of the winter of 1960-1961 and in May of 1961 reiterated its recommendation for annual immunization of the aged and the chronically ill. In its report, the committee also hazarded the qualified statement that either influenza A or B might be epidemic during the following winter season. In a normal news release issued by the Surgeon General's office in October, 1961, this guarded prediction was given headline attention and picked up by the wire services for nationwide dissemination. The effect was dramatic. Within a few weeks, all supplies of commercial influenza vaccine were exhausted and it was too late to manufacture much more. The available vaccine used totaled 20 million doses. Statistics are not available to show what proportion of this was given to the recommended high priority groups in comparison with various strategic and industrial population groups but it was clear that total demand greatly exceeded total supply.’

The paper draws the conclusion that at that time, there was little evidence of efficacy of influenza vaccines:

‘The assumption of at least partial effectiveness of polyvalent vaccines has not been well substantiated. There is little evidence that recent vaccines have significantly prevented clinical illness and similarly little evidence in either direction to evaluate the effect of the vaccine on mortality of older persons and the chronically ill. It is, therefore, problematic how long such a program should be continued without better scientific evidence to justify the major costs to the general public that are entailed.’

Viewing this all through a contemporary lens, one must surely ask the question – has this pandemic industry really been driven by a desire to protect vulnerable people from disease, or is it driven by another agenda? Profit being the obvious one, but given the draconian response to Covid-19, which has crushed fundamental civil liberties, one could easily argue that social control is another.

Again Geert, is there any evidence that society has ever vaccinated its way out of a pandemic like Covid-19 or influenza?

On what evidence has this pandemic industry been created, and whose needs is it serving?

These questions are why the past is important – because it continues to influence the present.... and also, the future.

Given the seriousness of the situation, I suggest that myopia is for fools, or perhaps for those with something to hide.

Kind regards

Emma

Emma McArthur

Independent researcher investigating the Covid-19 response and taxpayer-funded public health policy

humanityattheprecipice.wordpress.com



38 Likes · 11 Restacks

Discussion about this post

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...



Amat Amat 23 Jan 2024

♥ Liked by Elizabeth Hart

It really is a pandemic money making industry and has nothing to do with science. No one is monitoring the effects longterm of any vaccine, they just churn them out and the poor gullible masses believe these charlatans when they say that it is the only thing that will save them. Babies are presented by their mothers to be injected almost to an inch of their life and all the charlatans have to do is call

the injection a vaccine and they will get away with almost anything. Until the people demand proper research and control of this industry then the charlatans will continue on their mission to inject every living thing on this planet and unknown lives will continue to suffer with the damage or if they are

 LIKED (6)  REPLY

 SHARE

4 replies by Elizabeth Hart and others



Em  23 Jan 2024

...

 Liked by Elizabeth Hart

Bingo!! It's always been about following the money. But the bigger picture is control, all these elites are working hand in hand to further their own interests.

 LIKED (5)  REPLY

 SHARE

30 more comments...