

Coercive vaccination and mandates - no valid voluntary informed consent

Discussion with Graham Hood, John Larter, Judy Wilyman and Elizabeth Hart



ELIZABETH HART

FEB 16, 2024



38



8



3

Share



Recently Graham Hood and John Larter invited Judy Wilyman and me onto Club Grubbery to discuss the bedrock medical ethics issue - **voluntary informed consent** for medical interventions, particularly vaccination.

Our discussion can be viewed on [Rumble](#) and [facebook](#), and on the [Club Grubbery website](#), (which includes a raw transcript).

Voluntary informed consent...*without it, we have nothing.*

We must take this back and expose that the medical 'profession', more correctly described as the medical industry, has been failing to obtain valid voluntary informed consent for vaccination for years.

Things are now coming to a head with the COVID-19 debacle, with most of the population at little or no risk of serious disease pressured, coerced, manipulated and even *mandated* to submit to COVID-19 vaccination, setting the population up for a repeat vaccine market.

To distil the matter to its essence:

Coercive vaccination and mandates *preclude* voluntary informed decisions - there cannot be valid *voluntary* informed consent for these medical interventions with coercion and mandates.

A practitioner/vaccinator cannot obtain valid *voluntary* informed consent from someone who is presenting before them under duress - under coercion or a vaccination mandate.

Practitioners should have refused to collaborate with these coercive and mandated medical interventions. But they've gone along with the scheme...for years.

And now there is no valid consent.

What is this going to mean when the penny drops?

The discussion with Hoody, John, Judy and me was prompted by my recent substack articles:

The destruction of voluntary informed consent via mandatory COVID-19 vaccination

"A political decision, not a health decision"



ELIZABETH HART
6 FEB 2024



"...National Cabinet agreed that COVID-19 vaccinations are to be mandated..."

How can the World Health Organization support mandatory vaccination?

This violates voluntary informed consent.



ELIZABETH HART
13 FEB 2024



My article [The destruction of voluntary informed consent via mandatory COVID-19 vaccination](#) discusses the role of former prime minister Scott Morrison and the premiers and chief ministers in National Cabinet in destroying voluntary informed consent for COVID-19 vaccination, by overruling Chief Medical Officer Paul Kelly's and the AHPPC's original recommendation *against* compulsory vaccination for aged care workers.

As a result of this catastrophic breach in medical ethics, *initiated by Scott Morrison and the premiers and chief ministers in National Cabinet*, COVID-19 vaccination mandates subsequently spread around the country, denying millions of Australians their livelihood and participation in civil society if they refused to submit to the injections.

A massive crime against the people has taken place, the theft of personal autonomy in medical decision-making, with [the medical 'profession' conscripted](#) as a frontline police force for the lucrative mass vaccination agenda.

Meanwhile, critical thinking people were discriminated against, punished for refusing to submit to coercive and mandated medical interventions, and ostracised from society.

This travesty happened in Australia and around the world.

It's way past time for accountability.



38 Likes · 3 Restacks

Discussion about this post

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...



Amat Amat 16 Feb 2024

♥ Liked by Elizabeth Hart



Elizabeth Hart is correct when she said on the video there should be no mandates for any vaccinations. Once you make that rule for one section of society then you have set a precedent and other mandates will follow. We have to have freedom from coercion to refuse anything being put into our body that we do not want, it is an assault on that individual if it's not followed. With the insane drive to "vaccinate from cradle to grave" how can we have trust in the information from any authority who are complicit in this mindset - we can't- there has to be some way to have information available that is transparent, independent and free from any finance that would influence their decisions. We all, in every country, have been put on a slippery slope towards losing our personal freedom to act and speak for ourselves and having agency over our own bodies.

♥ LIKED (7)  REPLY

 SHARE

1 reply by Elizabeth Hart



Matilda Bawden  Matilda Bawden 16 Feb 2024 *Edited* 

♥ Liked by Elizabeth Hart

The question for South Australia (and reciprocal authorities in other states) is "Why did the Health and Community Services Complaints Commissioner (HCSCC) Professor Grant Davies allow this to happen under his watch, in breach of the HCSCC's Code of Conduct and mandate?"

As soon as he started gagging even non "health service providers" (like myself) Fuhrer Davies rendered informed consent in SA illegal!!!!

Informed consent cannot happen in an environment in which one cannot freely give or receive information from all sources - solicited or unsolicited!

Due DIRECTLY to the fact that I was gagged (on threat of a \$10k fine and 2yrs prison) from making any comment regarding mandates, my disabled (blind and intellectually disabled) client became jab-injured and his mother died of a cancer she didn't have before the shots. Before the shots, she was remarkably healthy and very fit and mobile, but mysteriously died 18 months later. My client is now keen to join the class action, but it's largely too late for him now as he has a myriad of health conditions he didn't have before.

<https://matildabawden.com/health-and-comunity-services-complaints-comimission-hcsc/>

♥ LIKED (6)  REPLY

 SHARE

2 replies by Elizabeth Hart and others

6 more comments...

© 2025 Elizabeth Hart · [Privacy](#) · [Terms](#) · [Collection notice](#)
[Substack](#) is the home for great culture