

Coercion, intimidation and mandates preclude voluntary informed consent for vaccination

There has been no valid consent for COVID-19 vaccination



ELIZABETH HART

FEB 28, 2024

184

113

21

Share

...

Millions of Australians were *intimidated* into submitting to the COVID-19 vaccination rollout on the orders of politicians such as Daniel Andrews in Victoria and Mark McGowan in Western Australia, fulfilling Scott Morrison's original plan that COVID-19 vaccination would be "*as mandatory as you can possibly make it*".



Millions of Australians were *effectively conscripted* into supporting the Australian Government's COVID-19 vaccination rollout, under threat of deprivation of their livelihood and liberty for non-compliance - 'No Jab, No Job' to 'No Jab, No Life'.

Doctors, nurses and pharmacists knew that people were presenting before them under coercion, intimidation and vaccination mandates - they should have refused to collaborate with injecting people they knew were under duress to comply, as this violates voluntary informed consent.

Practitioners had been gagged by the AHPRA Position Statement 9 March 2021, which threatened practitioners with regulatory action if they challenged the COVID-19 vaccination rollout. Doctors, nurses and pharmacists had been *effectively conscripted* to support the Australian Government's COVID-19 vaccination rollout - was this in contravention of the Australian Constitution, i.e. paragraph [xxiiiA of s51](#)?

Please see below the text of my email to Australian Attorney-General Mark Dreyfus, on this matter, sent 27 February 2024. (Original email format accessible via [this link](#).)

My previous emails to Mark Dreyfus are accessible via hyperlinks in the email below.

Dear Mark Dreyfus, there has been *no valid consent* for COVID-19 vaccination in Australia, with reportedly [70.3 million doses](#) administered, across a population of 27 million.

Voluntary informed consent for COVID-19 vaccination was *precluded* by misinformation from 'the authorities', and their use of coercion, intimidation and mandates to make people comply with this medical intervention. *This was compounded by doctors, nurses and pharmacists failing to challenge coercion, intimidation and mandates for vaccination.*

Millions of Australians were subject to COVID-19 vaccination mandates as a consequence of action taken by Scott Morrison in June 2021, when [the ABC reported](#), "The Prime Minister will lobby state and territory leaders to overrule medical experts' advice and *force* aged care workers to get the coronavirus vaccine". (My emphasis.)

Scott Morrison was successful with his plan, with the Premiers and Chief Ministers in National Cabinet overruling the recommendation of Chief Medical Officer Paul Kelly and other members of the AHPPC *against* compulsory vaccination for aged care workers. Paul Kelly and the AHPPC subsequently rubber-stamped National Cabinet's decision to mandate COVID-19 vaccination for aged care workers, *thereby breaking the principle of voluntary informed consent for vaccination, and opening the floodgates for COVID-19 vaccination mandates around the country*, see my article for more background: [The destruction of voluntary informed consent via mandatory COVID-19 vaccination. "A political decision, not a health decision"](#). (Copy attached.)

Millions of Australians were *intimidated* into submitting to the COVID-19 vaccination rollout *on the orders of politicians*, such as [Daniel Andrews](#), then Premier of Victoria, who announced, "There is going to be a vaccinated economy, and you get to participate in that if you are vaccinated", and [Mark McGowan](#), then Premier of Western Australia, who said, "Life will be very difficult for the unvaccinated from

January 31. No pub, no bottle shop, no gym, no yoga class, no gig, no dancefloors, no hospital visits."

Scott Morrison had completed his assignment [announced in August 2020](#), that COVID-19 vaccination would be "as mandatory as you can possibly make it". Jane Halton, Chair of CEPI - Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations, and an advisor to Scott Morrison via the National COVID-19 Coordination Commission/Advisory Board, had laid it on the line [in May 2020](#), saying "Forget the 'no jab, no play' for kids, it's now 'no jab, no play' for adults..." Scott Morrison, [the political architect of the No Jab, No Pay coercive vaccination law for children](#), had stepped up to the task. Thanks to Scott Morrison and the Premiers and Chief Ministers in National Cabinet, millions of Australians were *effectively conscripted* into supporting the Australian Government's COVID-19 vaccination rollout, *under threat of deprivation of their livelihood and liberty for non-compliance - 'No Jab, No Job' to 'No Jab, No Life'*.

Doctors, nurses and pharmacists knew that people were presenting before them under coercion, intimidation and vaccination mandates - *they should have refused to collaborate with injecting people they knew were under duress to comply, as this violates voluntary informed consent.*

The vaccination mandates should have been challenged by the leadership of the medical profession, by the medical colleges and professional associations, by the medical insurers, and by AHPRA, but they did not do this. *It's astonishing the medical profession did not challenge the COVID-19 vaccination mandates!* (They persistently ignored calls from the public for the defence of informed consent, see for example [Coercive covid-19 injections in Australia - email to the Medical Board of Australia, AHPRA, RACGP, RACP, AMA](#), 8 June 2021.)

But doctors, nurses and pharmacists were constrained by AHPRA's, now superseded, Position Statement 9 March 2021, **which threatened practitioners with regulatory action if they challenged the COVID-19 vaccination rollout**, as outlined in my previous correspondence to you **questioning whether health practitioners were in effect being conscripted to participate in the Australian Government's COVID-19 vaccination rollout, in contravention of the Australian Constitution, i.e.** paragraph [xxiiiA of s51](#). (See emails below dated [15 August 2023](#) and [13 February 2023](#).)

In [his response to me](#) on this matter (see attached), David Lewis, General Counsel (Constitutional), Office of Constitutional Law, says:

You may be aware that immunisation is not mandatory and individuals may choose not to be vaccinated. We note that the part of the Australian Health Practitioner Regulatory Agency statement to which you refer relates to anti-vaccination statements **and does not purport to require a health practitioner to vaccinate patients.** (My emphasis.)

Apart from the highly contentious suggestion that "immunisation is not mandatory and individuals may choose not to be vaccinated", *under a regime which threatens and imposes loss of livelihood and exclusion from civil society for non-compliance*, David Lewis has misunderstood my point.

I am not saying the AHPRA position statement "requires a health practitioner to vaccinate patients". The AHPRA position statement *intimidates and bullies practitioners into supporting the Australian Government's COVID-19 vaccination rollout by dint of the fact doctors, nurses and pharmacists are not allowed to freely question the COVID-19 vaccination rollout without penalty.*

Mark Dreyfus, doctors, nurses and pharmacists and other practitioners were forbidden to freely question the taxpayer-funded COVID-19 vaccination rollout. Practitioners were gagged, effectively conscripted into supporting the Australian Government's COVID-19 vaccination rollout, in contravention of the Australian Constitution, paragraph [xxiiiA of s51](#), and in contravention of [their legal and ethical obligation](#) to obtain voluntary informed consent for vaccination.

And now here we are, with the Australian population coerced and intimidated into submitting to COVID-19 vaccination, and millions of Australians injected under COVID-19 vaccination mandates initiated by National Cabinet and the AHPPC.

There has been no valid consent for COVID-19 vaccination. This is a legal and ethical disaster which is yet to be brought to the attention of the Australian people.

This email is copied to Prime Minister Anthony Albanese, Health and Aged Care Minister Mark Butler, Chief Medical Officer Paul Kelly, AHPRA CEO Martin Fletcher and others, and will be widely circulated.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent researcher investigating the vaccine industry and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

vaccinationispolitical.net

elizabethhart.substack.com

Previous emails to Mark Dreyfus accessible via these hyperlinks: [15 August 2023](#) and [13 February 2023](#).



184 Likes · 21 Restacks

Discussion about this post

Comments

Restacks



Write a comment...



Stephen Due 28 Feb 2024 *Edited*

Liked by Elizabeth Hart

Coercion aside - how can you have informed consent when the relevant information is hidden? When risk/benefit ratio is unknown? When the product has not been subjected to adequate randomised controlled trials? When the contents of the injection are not fully disclosed? When known falsehoods are propagated by the authorities e.g. the injection stays in the arm, the injection is 'safe' (implies no known adverse effects), the injection is 'effective' (implies prevents infection and transmission), adverse effects are 'mild and 'rare' (when the AE database counts are off the scale)? There is absolutely no way consent can be informed under these circumstances,.

LIKED (43) REPLY

SHARE

37 replies by Elizabeth Hart and others



SL 28 Feb 2024

Liked by Elizabeth Hart

It is not very surprising that doctors and others went along with forcing vaccinations on people. Most people do what they are told and will not risk their careers to speak out against something that is wrong. Plus, many of them thought forcing jabs on people was the right things to do for everyone's benefit. I mean all of the important people said the jabs were good and that the people who oppose the jabs are crazed terrorists out to kill people. People of such high caliber would never lie about the jabs. Of course they will help forcibly jab people. When I was much younger, I wondered how all of the regular people in Germany in the 1930s had quietly gone along with the insane plans of a murderous dictator that resulted in 50 million deaths and the destruction of most of Europe. I do not wonder anymore. The true nature of man is to follow the alpha and the pack to secure their status in the pack. Anything that threatens their status and security will be violently opposed. Facts and morality be dammed.

 LIKED (18)  REPLY

 SHARE

3 replies by Elizabeth Hart and others

111 more comments...