
Did ANY doctors challenge the vaccine mandates? Email to National Cabinet

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 30, 2025 at 1:31 PM

To: A.Albanese.MP@aph.gov.au, office@premier.nsw.gov.au, dpc@dpc.vic.gov.au, premier@ministerial.qld.gov.au, wa-government@dpc.wa.gov.au, premier@sa.gov.au, cabinet.office@dpac.tas.gov.au, BARR Reception <barr@act.gov.au>, chief.minister@nt.gov.au

Cc: kogarah@parliament.nsw.gov.au, jacinta.allan@parliament.vic.gov.au, Broadwater@parliament.qld.gov.au, kwinana@mp.wa.gov.au, croydon@parliament.sa.gov.au, jeremy.rockliff@parliament.tas.gov.au, Electorate.Siplett@nt.gov.au

For the attention of:

National Cabinet - Prime Minister, Premiers and Chief Ministers

Dear Anthony Albanese (PM), Chris Minns (NSW), Jacinta Allan (VIC), David Crisafulli (QLD), Roger Cook (WA), Peter Malinauskas (SA), Jeremy Rockliff (TAS), Andrew Barr (ACT), and Lia Finocchiaro (NT)

Did **any** doctors warn the National Cabinet that **vaccine mandates violate valid voluntary informed consent for vaccination?**

Vaccinated residents were exempted from all domestic restrictions under the 2021 *National Plan to transition Australia's National COVID-19 Response*. (See National Plan attached.)

In other words, **residents were coerced into vaccination to regain freedoms, while the freedoms of the unvaccinated remained restricted.**

Subsequent COVID-19 vaccine mandates imposed by the AHPPC and states and territories denied people their livelihood and participation in society if they refused to submit to COVID-19 vaccination.

So I put the question again: **were any doctors prepared to state plainly that they could not participate in administering vaccines under mandates, because doing so would breach their personal obligation to obtain valid voluntary informed consent for vaccination?**

Quoting from *The Australian Immunisation Handbook* under [Valid consent](#):

Valid consent is the **voluntary** agreement by an individual to a proposed procedure, which is given after sufficient, appropriate and reliable information about the procedure, including the potential risks and benefits, has been conveyed to that individual...

For consent to be legally valid, the following elements must be present...

...It must be given voluntarily in the absence of undue pressure, coercion or manipulation.

...It can only be given after the potential risks and benefits of the relevant vaccine, the risks of not having it, and any alternative options have been explained to the person.

(Emphasis added.)

I expect the National Cabinet's clear response on this matter: **did any doctors warn the National Cabinet, yes or no?**

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent researcher investigating the vaccine industry and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

vaccinationispolitical.net

elizmhart.substack.com

 [National Plan to transition Australia's National COVID-19 Response.pdf](#)
185K