
Re: Misfeasance in Public Office? The Destruction of Voluntary Informed Consent for Vaccination

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>
To: A.Albanese.MP@aph.gov.au

Thu, Jun 13, 2024 at 2:50 PM

For the attention of:

Anthony Albanese
Prime Minister of Australia

Dear Anthony Albanese, I'm challenging whether people in public office who use their position to impose coercive and mandatory vaccination are committing misfeasance, in this instance members of National Cabinet and the Australian Health Protection Principal Committee (AHPPC), please see my detailed article:

Misfeasance in Public Office? The Destruction of Voluntary Informed Consent for Vaccination, copy attached, and also publicly accessible via this link: <https://vaccinationispolitical.net/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/misfeasance-in-public-office-the-destruction-of-voluntary-informed-consent-for-vaccination.pdf>

The article is also published via my free substack: <https://elizabethhart.substack.com/p/misfeasance-in-public-office>

Last year law professor Augusto Zimmermann invited me to submit an article on my COVID research and correspondence over the past four years, which was accepted, but due to ongoing delays in publication I'm now circulating the updated and expanded article myself, as this information must see light in the court of public opinion.

My article covers the following topics, and includes reference to my correspondence with the Commonwealth Attorney-General's office and the Health Department:

- The precedent for COVID-19 vaccination mandates in Australia and the destruction of voluntary informed consent
- Were health practitioners in effect conscripted to support the COVID-19 vaccination rollout?
- Mass population vaccination and *Rogers v Whitaker*
- 'Exemptions' for COVID-19 vaccination - an oxymoron?
- Liability protection for manufacturers but not for practitioners
- Why did ATAGI recommend COVID-19 vaccination for all children aged 5 to 11 years?
- From 'No Jab, No Pay' to 'No Jab, No Life' - the creation of a coercive vaccination society

The misfeasance angle is appropriate to consider wherever people trusted with public office have mis/disinformed the public about the 'Covid' threat, and imposed coercive and mandatory vaccination, and also imposed restrictions/lockdowns.

Certainly this information needs to be discussed in the court of public opinion, to review how mandated medical interventions could be imposed in a supposed 'free' country.

Kind regards
Elizabeth Hart

Independent researcher investigating the vaccine industry and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy
vaccinationispolitical.net
elizabethhart.substack.com

 [Misfeasance in Public Office - The Destruction of Voluntary Informed Consent for Vaccination.pdf](#)
369K