
'Free' flu jabs...conflicts of interest...and the Immunisation Coalition/vaccine industry...

Elizabeth Hart <eliz.hart25@gmail.com>
To: elizmhart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Mon, May 30, 2022 at 9:02 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <eliz.hart25@gmail.com>
Date: Mon, May 30, 2022 at 2:46 PM
Subject: 'Free' flu jabs...conflicts of interest...and the Immunisation Coalition/vaccine industry...
To: <premier@sa>
Cc: <senator.rennick@aph>, <senator.antic@aph>, <senator.roberts@aph>, <senator.canavan@aph>, <senator.hanson@aph>, <SAPOL.Covid19Command@police.sa>, <sapol.enquiries@police.sa>, <ministerforhealth@sa>, <James.Stevens.MP@aph>, <nicola.spurrier@flinders>, <mark.latham@parliament.nsw>, <mulgoa@parliament.nsw>, <wollondilly@parliament.nsw>, <lanecove@parliament.nsw.gov.au>, <riverstone@parliament.nsw>, <Fred.Nile@parliament.nsw>, <Tim.Quilty@parliament.vic>, <neil.angus@parliament.vic>, <David.Limbrick@parliament.vic>, Tony Pasin MP <tony.pasin.mp@aph>, <Steph.Ryan@parliament.vic>, <senator.mcmahon@aph>, <Bob.Katter.MP@aph>, <azimmermann@sheridan>, <george.williams@unsw>, <j.allan@law.uq>, <R.Loiacono@curtin>, <A.Albanese.MP@aph.gov.au>, <mark.butler.mp@aph>, <ejminoz@>, <nick.hudson@pandata>, <PeterAMMcCullough@>, <newstip@adv.news ltd>, <ShadowHealth@parliament.sa>, <black@parliament.sa>, <bragg@parliament.sa>, <adrian.esterman@unisa>, <nigel.crawford@mcri>, <president@racgp>, <president@ama>, <president@racp>, <racp@racp>, <Jamie.Pearce@ahpra>, <Amanda.Watson@ahpra>, <kim@isg>

For the attention of:

Peter Malinauskas
Leader of the SA Labor Party
Premier of South Australia

Peter Malinauskas, **you've announced 'free' flu vaccines** will be available in South Australia, "in a program costing the state nearly \$5 million".

- Who exactly is at risk of serious illness, hospitalisation and death with flu?
- What is the evidence supporting the use of flu jabs across the population, i.e. across all ages and health status?
- Who exactly is paying for these 'free' flu vaccines, that are "costing the state nearly \$5 million"?

Isn't it South Australian taxpayers who will be paying \$5 million for the flu jabs? So **not 'free'** then for most people... And it's \$5 million that won't be available to spend on other areas of health care.

And it's not just you Peter Malinauskas, generously spending millions of taxpayers' dollars **on 'free' flu jabs** - simultaneously, other state premiers are popping up with the 'free' flu jab deal too - in **Victoria, New South Wales, Western Australia, Queensland**.

It's interesting this 'free' flu jab announcement suddenly pops up... pharmacies are now worried they won't be able to cope with the demand for the 'free' flu jabs **"saying they weren't given reasonable notice after the government's snap announcement"**, as reported in *The Advertiser* today, see article attached. **There's nothing like a shortage of the promised 'free' flu jabs to drive demand is there?** To get people all riled up for their 'free' jabs, with a shortage creating a 'scarcity complex', a marketing ploy.

Of course there's a major beneficiary of this government largesse with taxpayers' money...and that is the vaccine industry. How many millions will the vaccine industry reap from this 'free' flu jab campaign? Must be a great relief for them to get these government orders, as perhaps the flu jabs aren't flying off the shelves, given the disastrous Covid jab rollout, with the promised 'full vaccination' with two Covid jabs not being fulfilled, and now third, and even fourth Covid 'leaky vaccines' being pressed upon the population due to poor effectiveness. **It's all an experiment!** And 'informed consent' has been trashed...

Peter Malinauskas, **Adelaide general practitioner Rod Pearce has featured in the promotion for the 'free' flu jabs. As noted in this ABC report, Rod Pearce is the chair of the Immunisation Coalition, which sounds so**

reassuring... GP Rod Pearce says "everyone benefits from a flu vaccine".

But do they? Does everyone benefit from a flu vaccine? What is the evidence backing this statement? No evidence is provided for Rod Pearce's sweeping statement in the ABC report, but then the taxpayer-funded ABC isn't renowned for providing evidence to support taxpayer-funded vaccination policy, it's just a propaganda machine spouting the favoured line of governments and vested interests.

Back to Rod Pearce, chair of the Immunisation Coalition. The ABC committed another oversight in failing to disclose that the Immunisation Coalition is funded by the vaccine industry, including manufacturers of flu jabs and Covid jabs, i.e. Pfizer, moderna, Seqirus/CSL, GlaxoSmithKline, Sanofi, Bioselect and MSD (aka Merck). Other supporters of the Immunisation Coalition include Google.

This means that Rod Pearce has a 'conflict of interest' - are you familiar with this term Peter Malinauskas? Conflicts of interest should be disclosed.

GP Rod Pearce says "everyone benefits from a flu vaccine", but he's also chair of the vaccine industry-funded Immunisation Coalition, including vaccine manufacturers which produce flu jabs, so this should have been clearly disclosed in the ABC article, e.g. *"GP Rod Pearce is chair of the Immunisation Coalition, which is funded by vaccine manufacturers and providers Pfizer, moderna, Seqirus/CSL, GlaxoSmithKline, Sanofi, Bioselect and MSD".*

Peter Malinauskas, it's essential that conflicts of interest are disclosed so that people, including taxpayers, are properly informed. Do you understand this ethical principle?

It's my experience that members of the Immunisation Coalition, many of whom pop up regularly in the media promoting vaccine products, seem to be reluctant to properly disclose their association with the vaccine industry - why would that be, do you think Peter Malinauskas?

The Immunisation Coalition also has a curious statement on its website, i.e. **"Our website does not host any form of advertising"!?!?!?!** Have a look at the Immunisation Coalition website Peter Malinauskas, do you agree it "does not host any form of advertising"?

Here's what I think Peter Malinauskas...I think it's way past time the influence of the Immunisation Coalition/vaccine industry on Australian taxpayer-funded vaccination policy was subjected to scrutiny...

I also remind you of **my recent email to you**, see below, in which I conclude **"And now here we are, in a diabolical shambles, awash with defective Covid jabs, flu jabs, whatever lucrative jabs the vaccine industry wants to foist upon the community....and no idea of the impact on people's natural immune response and long-term health."**

It's not a good situation Peter Malinauskas, and I am seeking accountability.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent person investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

vaccinationispolitical.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Elizabeth Hart** <eliz.hart25@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, May 25, 2022 at 11:30 AM

Subject: Questioning the evidence base for 'free' Covid and flu jabs...what about natural immunity?

To: <premier@sa.gov.au>

Cc: <senator.rennick@aph.gov.au>, <senator.antic@aph.gov.au>, <senator.roberts@aph.gov.au>, <senator.canavan@aph.gov.au>, <senator.hanson@aph.gov.au>, <SAPOL.Covid19Command@police.sa.gov.au>, <sapol.enquiries@police.sa.gov.au>, <ministerforhealth@sa.gov.au>, <James.Stevens.MP@aph.gov.au>, <nicola.spurrier@flinders.edu.au>, <mark.latham@parliament.nsw.gov.au>, <mulgoa@parliament.nsw.gov.au>, <wollondilly@parliament.nsw.gov.au>, <lanecove@parliament.nsw.gov.au>, <riverstone@parliament.nsw.gov.au>, <Fred.Nile@parliament.nsw.gov.au>, <Tim.Quilty@parliament.vic.gov.au>, <neil.angus@parliament.vic.gov.au>, <David.Limbrick@parliament.vic.gov.au>, Tony Pasin MP <tony.pasin.mp@aph.gov.au>, <Steph.Ryan@parliament.vic.gov.au>, <senator.mcmahon@aph.gov.au>, <Bob.Katter.MP@aph.gov.au>, <azimmermann@sheridan.edu.au>, <george.williams@unsw.edu.au>, <j.allan@law.uq.edu.au>, <R.Loacono@curtin.edu.au>, <A.Albanese.MP@aph.gov.au>, <mark.butler.mp@aph.gov.au>, Emma McArthur <ejminoz@gmail.com>, Nick Hudson <nick.hudson@pandata.org>, <PeterAMcCullough@gmail.com>, <newstip@adv.news ltd.com.au>, <ShadowHealth@parliament.sa.gov.au>, <black@parliament.sa.gov.au>, <bragg@parliament.sa.gov.au>, <adrian.esterman@unisa.edu.au>, <nigel.crawford@mcri.edu.au>, <president@racgp.org.au>, <president@ama.com.au>, <president@racp.edu.au>, <racp@racp.edu.au>, <Jamie.Pearce@ahpra.gov.au>, <Amanda.Watson@ahpra.gov.au>

For the attention of:

Peter Malinauskas
Leader of the SA Labor Party
Premier of South Australia

Peter Malinauskas, re the unfolding Covid 'leaky vaccines' disaster, and the recent suggestion you are "**considering making flu vaccinations free for all South Australians following calls from health experts**". See: **Flu vaccine Adelaide: SA Premier considering making flu jabs free**, *The Advertiser*, 25 May 2022. (Copy attached.)

I've submitted the following comment on *The Advertiser* article:

What is the evidence supporting flu vaccinations "for all South Australians"?
Who exactly is at serious risk of flu?
Flu jabs are now being pushed for children also - what is the evidence supporting flu jabs for children?
What is the impact of flu jabs and Covid jabs on the natural immune response?
What are the cumulative long-term effects of repeated flu jabs, and repeated Covid jabs throughout life?
Who exactly is behind this push for 'free' flu vaccinations "for all South Australians"?

On the subject of children and Covid jabs, **I have still not received a response from ATAGI chair Nigel Crawford to my email: Why does ATAGI recommend COVID-19 mRNA injections for all children aged 5 to 11 years?** 22 April 2022. Similarly, **Royal Australian College of General Practitioners President Karen Price has not deigned to respond to my questions re 'valid voluntary consent'** or my queries to her **re COVID-19 mRNA injections being pressed upon children.**

The lack of accountability to the Australian public for taxpayer-funded vaccination policy, including mandated vaccination policy, is a shocking situation in our supposed 'liberal democracy', and demonstrates utter contempt for the bodily autonomy and bodily integrity of all Australians.

Peter Malinauskas, *here's a reminder for you...*

Please see in the email thread below **your email to me dated 1 April 2020, in response to my email of 28 March 2020, which included my rapid response published on The BMJ (aka The British Medical Journal).**

Peter Malinauskas, I suggest you think very, very carefully about my previous email and my BMJ rapid response published on 25 March 2020...because some chickens could be coming home to roost in the emerging defective Covid 'leaky vaccines' disaster...

BMJ rapid response: Is it ethical to impede access to natural immunity? The case of SARS-CoV2

If children, young adults and others can mount their own effective immune response to SARS-CoV2, is it ethical to impede their ability to access natural immunity by interfering with the natural progression of the virus?

According to the WHO, "**Illness due to COVID-19 infection is generally mild, especially for children and young adults.**"^[1]

Is the focus on future fast-tracked vaccine products blocking full consideration of the opportunity for natural herd immunity? Who is Neil Ferguson to say "The only exit strategy [in the] long term for this is really vaccination or other forms of innovative technology that allows us to control transmission".^[2]

In regards to young people's and others' right to natural immunity, it's also vital to consider the startling admission by Heidi Larson, Director of The Vaccine Confidence Project, during the recent WHO Global Vaccine Safety Summit, i.e. "...We've shifted the human population...to dependency on vaccine-induced immunity...We're in a very fragile state now. We have developed a world that is dependent on vaccinations".^[3]

This is a very alarming statement by Professor Larson, particularly with the prospect of other epidemics emerging in the future. We have to learn to deal with epidemics and illnesses as they emerge, it's not feasible to vaccinate the global population against every threat.

In a recent article raising concern about making decisions about this pandemic without reliable data, John Ioannidis notes that "School closures may also diminish the chances of developing herd immunity in an age group that is spared serious disease".^[4] The UK's chief scientific adviser, Sir Patrick Vallance, raised the prospect of developing natural herd immunity^[5], but this idea was subsequently howled down by Matt Hancock, the UK secretary of state for health and social care^[6], and others such as Willem van Schaik, a professor of microbiology and infection, as reported by the Science Media Centre.^[7]

Again, is it ethical to deny children, young people and others their opportunity for natural immunity, and to plan to make them dependent on vaccine-induced immunity, to in effect make them dependent on the vaccine industry?

This is even more serious to consider in light of emerging vaccine product failures, e.g. pertussis and mumps.

The international community must be assured that independent and objective thinkers are carefully considering the way ahead on this matter.

The thing is Peter Malinauskas, I don't think there were any independent and objective thinkers carefully considering the way ahead on this matter - 'independence' and 'objectivity' were very far indeed from the industry-driven Covid-19 response and the INSANE plan to inject the entire global population with Covid 'leaky vaccines' over and over again...

And now here we are, in a diabolical shambles, awash with defective Covid jabs, flu jabs, whatever lucrative jabs the vaccine industry wants to foist upon the community....and no idea of the impact on people's natural immune response and long-term health.

Peter Malinauskas, please see our correspondence from 2020 in the email thread below.

Sincerely
Elizabeth Hart
Independent person investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy
vaccinationispolitical.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Labor Leader** <laborleader@parliament.sa.gov.au>
Date: Wed, Apr 1, 2020 at 2:30 PM
Subject: RE: Questioning the evidence base for draconian measures being imposed on 25.4 million Australians re COVID-19
To: Elizabeth Hart <eliz.hart25@gmail.com>

Dear Ms Hart,

Thank you for the time you have spent in sending me your considered views on COVID-19.

This is an unprecedented crisis that is not only impacting South Australia but the rest of the world. There is no certain path we can follow.

As an Opposition party we do not have access to the same advice as either the Federal or State Government, so as this crisis has unfolded, along with other members of the Parliamentary Labor Team, I have been focussed on

working in a constructive manner with the State Government.

But it is also important that we advance issues that have been raised with us by South Australians like you have with me in this email.

Where we feel the response from the Government could be improved or urgent action is needed, we will continue to raise these matters in a constructive manner.

These are unprecedented and uncertain times. We know the future is anything but certain. We must listen to those with public health expertise, but we must also look to international experience.

Kind regards,

Peter Malinauskas MP

Leader of the Opposition

Shadow Minister for Defence & Space Industries

Ph. 08 8237 9137

Parliament House • North Terrace, Adelaide SA 5000 • www.sa.alp.org.au

From: Elizabeth Hart <eliz.hart25@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, 28 March 2020 12:35 PM

To: Labor Leader <laborleader@parliament.sa.gov.au>

Subject: Questioning the evidence base for draconian measures being imposed on 25.4 million Australians re COVID-19

Dear Mr Malinauskas, what evidence is being used to support the draconian measures being imposed on 25.4 million Australians re COVID-19?

Who is advising our elected representatives on this matter? Who are your advisers and what qualifies them to recommend such drastic measures which are going to impact severely on our economy, our society, our health, our freedom to associate, our very liberty?

I understand a group of eminent Go8 infectious disease researchers has been convened at CMO, Professor Brendan Murphy's request, to discuss and synthesize recommendations of the scope and scale of Social Distancing Measures re COVID.

Who are the members of this group who are influencing policy affecting all of us?

It is understandable to want to protect the vulnerable in our community who might be at risk with the current threat. But the WHO indicates most people will not be too troubled by the novel coronavirus. Is it not better for those at risk to self-isolate, and still provide them with services such as pharmacy deliveries, home library etc, and let others continue with their lives and livelihoods as herd immunity is built up?

My recent rapid response published on *The BMJ (The British Medical Journal)* is relevant, please see below:

BMJ Rapid Response: **Is it ethical to impede access to natural immunity? The case of SARS-CoV2**

If children, young adults and others can mount their own effective immune response to SARS-CoV2, is it ethical to impede their ability to access natural immunity by interfering with the natural progression of the virus?

According to the WHO, "Illness due to COVID-19 infection is generally mild, especially for children and young adults." [1]

Is the focus on future fast-tracked vaccine products blocking full consideration of the opportunity for natural herd immunity? Who is Neil Ferguson to say "The only exit strategy [in the] long term for this is really vaccination or other forms of innovative technology that allows us to control transmission". [2]

In regards to young people's and others' right to natural immunity, it's also vital to consider the startling admission by Heidi Larson, Director of The Vaccine Confidence Project, during the recent WHO Global Vaccine Safety Summit, i.e. "...We've shifted the human population...to dependency on vaccine-induced immunity...We're in a very fragile state now. We have developed a world that is dependent on vaccinations". [3]

This is a very alarming statement by Professor Larson, particularly with the prospect of other epidemics emerging in the future. We have to learn to deal with epidemics and illnesses as they emerge, it's not feasible to vaccinate the global population against every threat.

In a recent article raising concern about making decisions about this pandemic without reliable data, John Ioannidis notes that "School closures may also diminish the chances of developing herd immunity in an age group that is spared serious disease". [4] The UK's chief scientific adviser, Sir Patrick Vallance, raised the prospect of developing natural herd immunity [5], but this idea was subsequently howled down by Matt Hancock, the UK secretary of state for health and social care [6], and others such as Willem van Schaik, a professor of microbiology and infection, as reported by the Science Media Centre. [7]

Again, is it ethical to deny children, young people and others their opportunity for natural immunity, and to plan to make them dependent on vaccine-induced immunity, to in effect make them dependent on the vaccine industry?

This is even more serious to consider in light of emerging vaccine product failures, e.g. pertussis and mumps.

The international community must be assured that independent and objective thinkers are carefully considering the way ahead on this matter.

References:

1. WHO Q&A on coronaviruses (COVID-19) - Should I worry about COVID-19. 9 March 2020.
2. Elisabeth Mahase. Covid-19: UK starts social distancing after new model points to 260 000 potential deaths. BMJ2020;368:m1089
3. Heidi Larson. Vaccine safety in the next decade. Why we need new modes of trust building? WHO Global Vaccine Safety Summit, 2-3 December 2019.
4. John P.A. Ioannidis. A fiasco in the making? As the coronavirus pandemic takes hold, we are making decisions without reliable data. STAT, 17 March 2020.
5. Coronavirus: 60% of UK population need to become infected so country can build 'herd immunity', government's chief scientist says. Independent, 13 March 2020.
6. The UK backs away from "herd immunity" coronavirus proposal amid blowback. Vox, 15 March 2020.
7. Expert comments about herd immunity. Science Media Centre, 13 March 2020.

Competing interests: No competing interests

25 March 2020

Elizabeth M Hart

Independent citizen investigating the over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy
Adelaide, Australia

The information in this e-mail may be confidential and/or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, access to it is unauthorised and any disclosure, copying, distribution or action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it is prohibited and may be unlawful.

2 attachments

 **Free flu vaccine Adelaide_ SA Premier considering not charging for jabs _ The Advertiser.pdf**
3838K

 **Free Adelaide flu shots_ Pharmacies under pressure after snap announcement _ The Advertiser.pdf**
1204K