
Were 'leaky vaccines' deliberately spread round the world?

Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>
To: Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 11, 2022 at 5:13 PM

It's now known the covid-19 injections are 'leaky vaccines' that don't prevent infection nor transmission. It's claimed they provide dubious 'protection' of limited duration. But most people weren't in need of this 'protection' as they weren't at serious risk of Covid-19.

Now billions of people around the world, including children, are being set up for repeat shots with these experimental jabs, potentially for life.

How did this happen? This is what we need to track back now...

For instance, consider the monkey trial for the Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine. This monkey trial demonstrated 'the vaccine' did not prevent infection nor spread. Should 'the vaccine' have proceeded to human trials?

See my email on this subject below.

Elizabeth Hart

Independent person investigation the gross over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy
vaccinationispolitical.net

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Elizabeth Hart <elizmhart@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Jan 10, 2022 at 10:49 PM

Subject: Were 'leaky vaccines' deliberately spread round the world?

To: Graham Brady

Cc: Charles Walker, Desmond Swayne, Steve Baker, Mark Harper, lockdownsceptics, irreverendpod, conservativewoman, Emma McArthur, Nick Hudson, Peter A. McCullough, Peter Mozelewski, newstips@gbnews

For the attention of:

Graham Brady

Chairman of the 1922 Committee

UK Conservative Party

Dear Graham Brady, it's clear now that the covid-19 'vaccines' are actually 'leaky vaccines' that don't prevent infection nor transmission.

In the case of the Oxford/AstraZeneca vaccine, **this was known from the beginning, when the monkey trial demonstrated that the vaccine did not prevent the animals from catching or spreading the virus.**

What consideration was given to this matter before progressing to human trials?

This must be tracked back now, who decided to continue with the Oxford/AstraZeneca human trials, knowing that the monkeys could catch and spread the virus?

This was raised by Jonathan Ball, professor of molecular virology at the University of Nottingham in May 2020 when he said:

the vaccine data suggests that the jab may not be able to prevent the spread of the virus between infected individuals. "That viral loads in the noses of vaccinated and unvaccinated animals were identical is very significant. If the same happened in humans, vaccination would not stop spread," he said. "I genuinely believe that this finding should warrant an urgent re-appraisal of the ongoing human trials of the ChAdOx1 vaccine." (See in context below.)

Graham Brady, I request that you initiate an urgent investigation into this matter.

The circulation of 'leaky vaccines' around the globe has interfered with the natural human response to the virus, and led to these medical interventions being repeatedly pressed upon billions of people. Some countries are now up to the fourth shot 'booster', e.g. with other products, such as the Pfizer and Moderna jabs, being used in conjunction with the Oxford/AstraZeneca jab.

In many instances people are being coerced to submit to these defective jabs, e.g. in Australia, where State governments and employers are mandating the covid jabs for employment - No Jab, No Job. Public venues such as

theatres, sports stadiums and football and golf clubs etc are also insisting patrons be injected with these 'leaky vaccines'.

This is an unprecedented medical intervention being undertaken across the global population, against a virus it was known from the beginning wasn't a serious threat to most people. The world population is now in the throes of a massive experiment - without valid informed consent. We have no idea where this is going. Most recently the omicron variant is being promoted as the latest threat, another variant which appears to escape the 'leaky vaccines'. The threat of omicron is being beaten up in countries such as the UK and Australia, despite the fact that the country where this variant first appeared, South Africa, reports it is generally mild, see: **From symptoms to transmission - why we must listen to South African scientists on omicron**, *Telegraph*, 9 January 2022, copy attached.

Graham Brady, some historical articles in the *Telegraph* provide background re the monkey trial. An article titled **Exclusive: Oxford University Covid-19 vaccine trial has 50 per cent chance of 'no result'**, 23 May 2020 (see attached), includes reference to the monkey trial conducted by Sarah Gilbert:

When Covid-19 began to spread, Prof Gilbert decided to do a "little lab project" to see whether the Oxford technique could work on the new disease. Tests were promising, and in April six rhesus macaque monkeys were given single doses of the Oxford vaccine at a US lab, and were then exposed to the virus. **A month later, all six monkeys were still healthy.** (My emphasis.)

But further in the article it emerges the vaccine didn't prevent infection nor transmission:

Until last week, the Oxford vaccine was considered the clear frontrunner in the global race. Last week, however, a widely-reported article in *Forbes* cast doubt on the results of the monkey trial, suggesting that results actually showed the vaccine **did not prevent the animals from catching or spreading the virus because traces were found in the creatures' noses.** (My emphasis.)

Prof Hill said the article was misleading because the monkeys had been deliberately "overdosed" on coronavirus in order to test for safety.

"The honest truth, I think, is that the author is a long-retired senior Harvard virologist, infectious disease guy. He's not a vaccine developer," he said.

"We used a really high dose and these guys gave it not just into the lungs and the nose. They gave it into the mouth, and they gave it into the eyes. They gave a huge dose. I mean, seriously, it's that level of basic."

Here's the link to the *Forbes* article by William A Haseltine that cast doubt on the monkey trial: **Did the Oxford covid vaccine work in monkeys? Not really.** 16 May 2020.

In another article on the *Telegraph*, dated 18 May 2020: (See article attached - **Doubts over Oxford vaccine as it fails to stop coronavirus in animal trials.**)

Jonathan Ball, professor of molecular virology at the University of Nottingham, said **the vaccine data suggests that the jab may not be able to prevent the spread of the virus between infected individuals.**

"That viral loads in the noses of vaccinated and unvaccinated animals were identical is very significant. If the same happened in humans, vaccination would not stop spread," he said. **"I genuinely believe that this finding should warrant an urgent re-appraisal of the ongoing human trials of the ChAdOx1 vaccine."**

And

Eleanor Riley, professor of Immunology and Infectious Disease at the University of Edinburgh, said there was both good and bad news in the most monkey trials:

"Whilst the vaccine induced neutralising antibodies and vaccinated animals experienced less severe clinical symptoms than unvaccinated animals (good), the neutralising antibody titres were low and insufficient to prevent infection and - importantly - insufficient to prevent viral shedding in nasal secretions (worrying).

"If similar results were obtained in humans, the vaccine would likely provide partial protection against disease in the vaccine recipient but would be unlikely to reduce transmission in the wider community."

(My emphasis.)

Again, did that happen as Jonathan Ball suggested? ***Was there an urgent re-appraisal of the ongoing human trials of the ChAdOx1 vaccine at that time?***

Graham Brady, this is important to consider now, as we know 'the vaccines' haven't stopped infection or spread in the human population. These 'leaky vaccines' have been circulated around the world, with millions of people being coerced to have them repeatedly, trashing informed consent, and creating multi-billion dollar repeat covid jab markets.

This must be tracked back now.

I request your urgent response on this matter.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Hart

Independent person investigation the gross over-use of vaccine products and conflicts of interest in vaccination policy

vaccinationispolitical.net

Previous emails to Graham Brady:

- The treacherous Covid-19 response - calling on the 1922 Committee for justice 31 December 2021

3 attachments

 **Exclusive_ Oxford University Covid-19 vaccine trial has 50 per cent chance of 'no result'.pdf**
2073K

 **Doubts over Oxford vaccine as it fails to stop coronavirus in animal trials.pdf**
2432K

 **From symptoms to transmission - why we must listen to South African scientists on omicron.pdf**
2458K